



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS  
GREG ABBOTT

December 9, 2004

Ms. Rebecca Brewer  
Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd & Joplin, PC  
P.O. Box 1210  
McKinney, Texas 75070-1210

OR2004-10469

Dear Ms. Brewer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 214381.

The Wylie Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information relating to two named police officers. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.102, 552.108, 552.117, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code §552.101. This section encompasses information other statutes make confidential. The submitted information includes W-4 forms. Federal tax return information is confidential under section 6103(a) of title 26 of the United States Code. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(a). The term "return information" includes "the nature, source, or amount of income" of a taxpayer. *See* 26 U.S.C. § 6103(b)(2). Our office has specifically held that a governmental body must withhold a Form W-4 in its entirety. Open Records Decision No. 600 at 9 (1992). Therefore, the department must withhold the submitted W-4 forms under section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 6103 of title 26 of the United States Code.

We note that the submitted information also contains social security numbers which may be excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with federal law. The

1990 amendments to the federal Social Security Act make confidential social security numbers and related records that were obtained or are maintained by a state agency or political subdivision of the state pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 622 (1994). The department has cited no law, nor are we aware of any law, enacted on or after October 1, 1990 that authorizes it to obtain or maintain social security numbers. Therefore, we have no basis for concluding that these social security numbers are confidential under section 405(c)(2)(C)(viii)(I) of title 42 of the United States Code. We caution the department, however, that section 552.352 of the Government Code imposes criminal penalties for the release of confidential information. Prior to releasing these social security numbers, the department should ensure that they were not obtained and are not maintained by the department pursuant to any provision of law enacted on or after October 1, 1990.

A portion of the submitted information constitutes medical record information, access to which is governed by the Medical Practice Act ("MPA"), chapter 159 of the Occupations Code. Section 159.002 provides in pertinent part:

(b) A record of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient by a physician that is created or maintained by a physician is confidential and privileged and may not be disclosed except as provided by this chapter.

(c) A person who receives information from a confidential communication or record as described by this chapter, other than a person listed in Section 159.004 who is acting on the patient's behalf, may not disclose the information except to the extent that disclosure is consistent with the authorized purposes for which the information was first obtained.

Occ. Code § 159.002(b), (c). This office has concluded that the protection afforded by section 159.002 extends only to records created by either a physician or someone under the - supervision of a physician. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 487 (1987), 370 (1983), 343 (1982). Further, information that is subject to the MPA also includes information that was obtained from medical records. *See* Occ. Code. § 159.002(a), (b), (c); *see also* Open Records Decision No. 598 (1991).

Medical records must be released upon the governmental body's receipt of the patient's signed, written consent, provided that the consent specifies (1) the information to be covered by the release, (2) reasons or purposes for the release, and (3) the person to whom the information is to be released. *See* Occ. Code §§ 159.004, .005. Section 159.002(c) also requires that any subsequent release of medical records be consistent with the purposes for which the governmental body obtained the records. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 at 7 (1990). We have marked the medical record information that is subject to the MPA.

Absent the applicability of an MPA access provision, the department must withhold this information pursuant to the MPA.

The submitted information also contains information the release of which is governed by chapter 611 of the Health and Safety Code. Section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code applies to “[c]ommunications between a patient and a professional, [and] records of the identity, diagnosis, evaluation, or treatment of a patient that are created or maintained by a professional.” Health and Safety Code § 611.002(a); *see also* Health and Safety Code § 611.001 (defining “patient” and “professional”). Sections 611.004 and 611.0045 provide for access to mental health records only by certain individuals. *See* Open Records Decision No. 565 (1990). The submitted information contains mental health record information, which we have marked, that is confidential under section 611.002 and may only be released in accordance with sections 611.004 and 611.0045 of the Health and Safety Code.

Section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code, which is also encompassed by section 552.101, provides as follows:

(a) A polygraph examiner, trainee, or employee of a polygraph examiner, or a person for whom a polygraph examination is conducted or an employee of the person, may not disclose information acquired from a polygraph examination to another person other than:

- (1) the examinee or any other person specifically designated in writing by the examinee;
- (2) the person that requested the examination;
- (3) a member, or the member’s agent, of a governmental agency that licenses a polygraph examiner or supervises or controls a polygraph examiner’s activities;
- (4) another polygraph examiner in private consultation; or
- (5) any other person required by due process of law.

Occ. Code § 1703.306. We note that the submitted documents contain information that was acquired from a polygraph examination. It does not appear that any of the exceptions in section 1703.306 apply in this instance. *See* Open Records Decision 565 (1990) (construing predecessor statute). Accordingly, we conclude that the department must withhold the information we have marked pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code.

We also note that a portion of the submitted information is confidential pursuant to section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code. Section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code governs certain declarations of medical condition and of psychological and emotional health and provides:

(a) The commission may not issue a license to a person as an officer or county jailer unless the person is examined by:

(1) a licensed psychologist or by a psychiatrist who declares in writing that the person is in satisfactory psychological and emotional health to serve as the type of officer for which a license is sought; and

(2) a licensed physician who declares in writing that the person does not show any trace of drug dependency or illegal drug use after a physical examination, blood test, or other medical test.

(b) An agency hiring a person for whom a license as an officer or county jailer is sought shall select the examining physician and the examining psychologist or psychiatrist. The agency shall prepare a report of each declaration required by Subsection (a) and shall maintain a copy of the report on file in a format readily accessible to the commission. A declaration is *not public information*.

Occ. Code § 1701.306 (emphasis added). Thus, the information we have marked must be withheld under section 552.101 in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code.

Section 552.101 also encompasses sections 560.001, 560.002, and 560.003 of the Government Code. These sections govern the public availability of fingerprint information and provide as follows:

Sec. 560.001. DEFINITIONS. In this chapter:

(1) "Biometric identifier" means a retina or iris scan, fingerprint, voiceprint, or record of hand or face geometry.

(2) "Governmental body" has the meaning assigned by Section 552.003 [of the Government Code], except that the term includes each entity within or created by the judicial branch of state government.

Sec. 560.002. DISCLOSURE OF BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIER. A governmental body that possesses a biometric identifier of an individual:

(1) may not sell, lease, or otherwise disclose the biometric identifier to another person unless:

(A) the individual consents to the disclosure;

(B) the disclosure is required or permitted by a federal statute or by a state statute other than Chapter 552 [of the Government Code]; or

(C) the disclosure is made by or to a law enforcement agency for a law enforcement purpose; and

(2) shall store, transmit, and protect from disclosure the biometric identifier using reasonable care and in a manner that is the same as or more protective than the manner in which the governmental body stores, transmits, and protects its other confidential information.

Sec. 560.003. APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 552. A biometric identifier in the possession of a governmental body is exempt from disclosure under Chapter 552.

Gov't Code §§ 560.001, 560.002, 560.003. There is no indication that the requestor has a right of access under section 560.002 to the fingerprint information that we have marked. Therefore, the department must withhold that information under sections 552.101 and 560.003 of the Government Code.

You note that criminal history record information ("CHRI") is confidential and not subject to disclosure. Federal regulations prohibit the release of CHRI maintained in state and local CHRI systems to the general public. *See* 28 C.F.R. § 20.21(c)(1) ("Use of criminal history record information disseminated to noncriminal justice agencies shall be limited to the purpose for which it was given."), (2) ("No agency or individual shall confirm the existence or nonexistence of criminal history record information to any person or agency that would not be eligible to receive the information itself."). Section 411.083 provides that any CHRI maintained by the Department of Public Safety ("DPS") is confidential. Gov't Code § 411.083(a). Similarly, CHRI obtained from the DPS pursuant to statute is also confidential and may only be disclosed in very limited instances. *Id.* § 411.084; *see also id.* § 411.087 (restrictions on disclosure of CHRI obtained from DPS also apply to CHRI obtained from other criminal justice agencies). However, the definition of CHRI does not include driving record information maintained by DPS under chapter 521 of the Transportation Code. *See* Gov't Code § 411.082(2)(B). The department must withhold any CHRI falling within the ambit of these state and federal regulations pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code.

You also raise section 552.102 of the Government Code for portions of the submitted information. Section 552.102(a) excepts from disclosure "information in a personnel file, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Gov't Code § 552.102(a). Section 552.102(a) is generally applicable to information relating to a public official or employee. See Open Records Decision No. 327 at 2 (1982) (anything relating to employee's employment and its terms constitutes information relevant to person's employment relationship and is part of employee's personnel file). In *Hubert v. Harte-Hanks Texas Newspapers*, 652 S.W.2d 546 (Tex. App.—Austin 1983, writ ref'd n.r.e.), the court ruled that the test to be applied to information claimed to be excepted from disclosure under section 552.102(a) is the same as the test formulated by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* for information claimed to be protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy as incorporated by section 552.101 of the Government Code. See also *Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd.*, 540 S.W.2d 668, 683-85 (Tex. 1976). Accordingly, we address your section 552.102 claim in conjunction with your common-law privacy claim under section 552.101 of the Government Code.

Information is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy when (1) it is highly intimate and embarrassing, such that its release would be highly objectionable to a person of ordinary sensibilities, and (2) there is no legitimate public interest in its disclosure. See *id.* The type of information considered intimate and embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in *Industrial Foundation* included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. See *id.* at 683.

Prior decisions of this office have found that financial information relating only to an individual ordinarily satisfies the first requirement of the test for common-law privacy, but that there is a legitimate public interest in the essential facts about a financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body. See, e.g., Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992) (information revealing that employee participates in group insurance plan funded partly or wholly by governmental body is not excepted from disclosure). In addition, this office has found that the following types of information are excepted from required public disclosure under common-law privacy: some kinds of medical information or information indicating disabilities or specific illnesses, see Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (illness from severe emotional and job-related stress), 455 (1987) (prescription drugs, illnesses, operations, and physical handicaps); personal financial information not relating to the financial transaction between an individual and a governmental body, see Open Records Decision Nos. 545 (1990), 523 (1989) (individual's mortgage payments, assets, bills, and credit history); and certain personal choices relating to financial transactions between the individual and the governmental body, see Open Records Decision No. 600 (1992) (designation of beneficiary of employee's retirement benefits and optional insurance coverage; choice of particular insurance carrier; direct deposit authorization; and forms allowing employee to allocate pretax compensation to group insurance, health care, or dependent care). After reviewing the submitted information, we find that portions are

protected from disclosure under the common-law right to privacy. We have marked the information that the department must withhold pursuant to sections 552.101 and 552.102 of the Government Code. However, we find that no other portion of the remaining submitted information is protected from disclosure by the common-law right to privacy and, thus, may not be withheld from the requestor under either section 552.101 or section 552.102 of the Government Code on that basis. *See* Open Records Decision Nos. 470 (1987) (public employee's job performance does not generally constitute his private affairs), 455 (1987) (public employee's job performances or abilities generally not protected by privacy), 444 (1986) (public has legitimate interest in knowing reasons for dismissal, demotion, promotion, or resignation of public employees), 423 at 2 (1984) (statutory predecessor applicable when information would reveal intimate details of highly personal nature), 405 at 2 (1983) (manner in which employee performed his job cannot be said to be of minimal public interest), 400 at 5 (1983) (statutory predecessor protected information only if its release would lead to clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy).

You also raise section 552.108 of the Government Code. Section 552.108 provides in part:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime;

(2) it is information that the deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication;

...

- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:

(1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution;

(2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication; or

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (a)(2), (b)(1), (b)(2). Generally speaking, subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1) are mutually exclusive of subsections 552.108(a)(2) and

552.108(b)(2). Section 552.108(a)(1) protects information, the release of which would interfere with a particular pending criminal investigation or prosecution, while section 552.108(b)(1) encompasses internal law enforcement and prosecution records, the release of which would interfere with on-going law enforcement and prosecution efforts in general. In contrast, sections 552.108(a)(2) and (b)(2) protect information that relates to a concluded criminal investigation or prosecution that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication. We note that a governmental body that claims an exception to disclosure under section 552.108 must reasonably explain how and why this exception is applicable to the information that the governmental body seeks to withhold. *See* Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706 (Tex. 1977); Open Records Decision No. 434 at 2-3 (1986).

After reviewing your arguments and the submitted information, we conclude that you have not demonstrated that the release of any of the submitted information would interfere either with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime or with law enforcement and crime prevention in general. *See* Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (b)(1). You also have not demonstrated that the submitted information pertains to a criminal investigation that has concluded in a final result other than a conviction or deferred adjudication. *See id.* § 552.108(a)(2), (b)(2). Thus, the department has failed to demonstrate that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.108, and none of it may be withheld pursuant to this exception. *See* Gov't Code §§ 552.108, .301(e)(1)(A); *see also Ex parte Pruitt*, 551 S.W.2d 706.

Section 552.117(a)(2) excepts from disclosure the present and former home addresses and telephone numbers, social security number, and family member information of a peace officer regardless of whether the officer requests confidentiality for that information under section 552.024 of the Government Code.<sup>1</sup> Gov't Code § 552.117(a)(2). We note that a post office box number is not a "home address" for purposes of section 552.117.<sup>2</sup> Thus, the department must withhold the information we have marked under section 552.117(a)(2).

-Section 552.130 of the Government Code prohibits the release of information that relates to a motor vehicle operator's or driver's license or permit issued by an agency of this state or a motor vehicle title or registration issued by an agency of this state. *See* Gov't Code § 552.130. Accordingly, the department must withhold the motor vehicle and driver's license information we have marked pursuant to section 552.130 of the Government Code.

---

<sup>1</sup> "Peace Officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

<sup>2</sup> *See* Gov't Code § 552.117; Open Records Decision No. 622 at 4 (1994) (legislative history makes clear that purpose of Gov't Code § 552.117 is to protect public employees from being harassed *at home*) (citing House Committee on State Affairs, Bill Analysis, H.B. 1976, 69th Leg. (1985); Senate Committee on State Affairs, Bill Analysis, H.B. 1976, 69th Leg. (1985)) (emphasis added).



Finally, we note that an e-mail address that is contained within the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137 provides:

(a) Except as otherwise provided by this section, an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to disclosure under this chapter.

(b) Confidential information described by this section that relates to a member of the public may be disclosed if the member of the public affirmatively consents to its release.

(c) Subsection (a) does not apply to an e-mail address:

(1) provided to a governmental body by a person who has a contractual relationship with the governmental body or by the contractor's agent;

(2) provided to a governmental body by a vendor who seeks to contract with the governmental body or by the vendor's agent;

(3) contained in a response to a request for bids or proposals, contained in a response to similar invitations soliciting offers or information relating to a potential contract, or provided to a governmental body in the course of negotiating the terms of a contract or potential contract; or

(4) provided to a governmental body on a letterhead, coversheet, printed document, or other document made available to the public.

(d) Subsection (a) does not prevent a governmental body from disclosing an e-mail address for any reason to another governmental body or to a federal agency.

Gov't Code § 552.137. Section 552.137 requires a governmental body to withhold certain e-mail addresses of members of the public that are provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with the governmental body, unless the members of the public with whom the e-mail addresses are associated have affirmatively consented to their release. Section 552.137 does not apply to a government employee's work e-mail address or a business's general e-mail address or web address. E-mail addresses that are encompassed by subsection 552.137(c) are also not excepted from disclosure under section 552.137. We have marked the e-mail address that is excepted from disclosure under section 552.137(a).

Unless the department has received affirmative consent for the release of this address, it must withhold the address pursuant to section 552.137 of the Government Code.

In summary, we conclude that the department must withhold the following information under section 552.101 of the Government Code: 1) the W-4 forms under federal law; 2) the fingerprint information pursuant to section 560.003; 3) the polygraph information pursuant to section 1703.306 of the Occupations Code; 3) the declarations of medical condition and of psychological and emotional health in conjunction with section 1701.306 of the Occupations Code; 5) the medical record information that is subject to the MPA; 6) the mental health record information in conjunction with section 611.002 of the Health and Safety Code; 7) any criminal history record information; and 8) the information we have marked under common-law privacy. Social security numbers contained within the submitted information may be confidential under federal law. Additionally, we conclude that the department must withhold the information we have marked under sections 552.117(a)(2), 552.130, and 552.137 of the Government Code. All remaining information must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Lauren E. Kleine  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 214381

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Gregory A. Willis  
The Willis Law Firm  
Legacy Bank Building  
5000 Legacy Drive, Suite 300  
Plano, Texas 75024  
(w/o enclosures)